Executive Session now in the Senate Lounge, General Affairs, Senate Lounge, immediately. Mr. President, if I might, items for the record, a new A Bill, LB 911A by Senator Elmer. (Read for the first time by title.) Senator Landis has amendments to LB 1106 to be printed. (See page 1134 of the Legislative Journal.) That is all that I have, Mr. President.

PRESIDENT: Thank you. Senator Baack, please, on the Lamb amendment.

SENATOR BAACK: Yes, Mr. President and members, I also rise oppose the Lamb amendment. I guess I feel a little bit differently than Senator Lamb does. I think that the hammer is equal on both sides. In fact, it may be even a little bit heavier on some of the Class II's and III's because we have got lot of those districts that rely awfully heavy on the nonresident tuition that comes into those districts for a great portion of their budget, and I think that they don't want to see these laws sunset anymore than the Class I's do. And so I think that by keeping this sunset in there, I think it puts an onus out there for everyone to negotiate and to come to some kind of solution on this problem. Nonresident tuition has been a problem in this state for years and years. No other state has anything in existence that's like nonresident tuition and that's caused some real problems in this state. I think we need to keep that in there, keep both sides at the bargaining table. think it puts both sides with their backs to the wall and I don't think either one is closer with their back to the wall than the other side. I think it keeps them both right there and think we could see some meaningful negotiations in the next year and a half or so and we can resolve the problems that we have had with nonresident tuition. Thank you.

PRESIDENT: Thank you. Senator Withem, please. Senator Lamb, would you like to close on your amendment to the committee amendments, please.

SENATOR LAMB: Yes, Mr. President, and members, I think Senator Withem has it right when he said actually neither side has the gun to their head, not the Class I's or the receiving districts, really it's us, it's the Legislature that is going to be under pressure and I guess that's where my concern lies. If, at the end of this period, 1990, when there are no nonresident tuitions statutes, what is going to happen? This Legislature is not going to leave it so that there is no method to fund nonresident